

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/783,810	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HORMANN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** 71

 (1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Chang-Su Lim, Ph.D. {Reg. No. 60,094}

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 July 2007
**Time:** 10:05am
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to cancel where X is S in claims 1 and 2 because where X is S is a non-elected invention. Dr. Lim indicated that he would speak with Applicant. Dr. Lim called the Examiner @11:12am of same day giving permission to cancel the non-elected subject matter from claims 1 and 2.

SS  
7/20/07